WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag & The Hon'ble Subesh Kumar Das

Case No - OA 1088 OF 2017

KOUSHIK CHATTERJEE + 105 OTHERS $\underline{\mathrm{Vs}}$ The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<u>01</u> 13.04.2018	For the Applicants : Mr. E. Bari, Mrs. S. Mitra, Learned Advocates.	`
	For the Respondent: Mr. G.P. Banerjee, No. 1 Learned Advocate.	
	For P.S.C., W.B. : Mr. A.L. Basu, Mr. S. Bhattacharya, Learned Advocates.	
	The original application is admitted for hearing. The	
	applicants participated in the selection process conducted	
	by Public Service Commission, West Bengal to fill up the	
	posts of Junior Engineers in West Bengal Sub-ordinate	
	Services of Engineers under the various Departments,	
	Directorates and other offices and establishments of	
	Government of West Bengal in the year 2016. The	
	applicants claim to have been included in the merit list	
	prepared by the Public Service Commission on the basis	
	of examination of 2016. The number of vacancies were	
	not notified in the advertisement, but the number of	
	vacancies were reported on December 26, 2016. On the	
	basis of the report of the vacancies declared on December	
	26, 2016, the Public Service Commission recommended	
	the names for filling up the posts.	

KOUSHIK CHATTERJEE + 105 OTHERS

Form No.

••••

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA 1088 OF 2017

Serial No. and Date of order. 1 Mr. Bari, Learned Counsel representing the applicants contends that the vacancy reported to PSC after December 26, 2016 have not been considered for recommending the names of the applicants who are still in the list prepared by P.S.C. The further contention of Mr. Bari is that the present panel should remain valid until further order of the Tribunal. Mr. Banerjee, Learned Counsel representing the state respondents has referred to information supplied under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 on September 11, 2017	
Mr. Bari, Learned Counsel representing the applicants contends that the vacancy reported to PSC after December 26, 2016 have not been considered for recommending the names of the applicants who are still in the list prepared by P.S.C. The further contention of Mr. Bari is that the present panel should remain valid until further order of the Tribunal. Mr. Banerjee, Learned Counsel representing the state respondents has referred to information supplied under	<u>;</u>
applicants contends that the vacancy reported to PSC after December 26, 2016 have not been considered for recommending the names of the applicants who are still in the list prepared by P.S.C. The further contention of Mr. Bari is that the present panel should remain valid until further order of the Tribunal. Mr. Banerjee, Learned Counsel representing the state respondents has referred to information supplied under	ry
after December 26, 2016 have not been considered for recommending the names of the applicants who are still in the list prepared by P.S.C. The further contention of Mr. Bari is that the present panel should remain valid until further order of the Tribunal. Mr. Banerjee, Learned Counsel representing the state respondents has referred to information supplied under	
recommending the names of the applicants who are still in the list prepared by P.S.C. The further contention of Mr. Bari is that the present panel should remain valid until further order of the Tribunal. Mr. Banerjee, Learned Counsel representing the state respondents has referred to information supplied under	
in the list prepared by P.S.C. The further contention of Mr. Bari is that the present panel should remain valid until further order of the Tribunal. Mr. Banerjee, Learned Counsel representing the state respondents has referred to information supplied under	
Mr. Bari is that the present panel should remain valid until further order of the Tribunal. Mr. Banerjee, Learned Counsel representing the state respondents has referred to information supplied under	
until further order of the Tribunal. Mr. Banerjee, Learned Counsel representing the state respondents has referred to information supplied under	
Mr. Banerjee, Learned Counsel representing the state respondents has referred to information supplied under	
respondents has referred to information supplied under	
the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 on September 11, 2017	
(page 17 to the original application) and submitted that	
the merit list would valid till December 07, 2017. He	
further submits that it is the policy of the State to decide	
how many vacancies will be filled up and the Tribunal can	
never give direction to the state respondents either to fill	
up the vacancies or to keep the panel alive for indefinite	
period.	
Mr. Basu, Learned Counsel representing the Public	
Service Commission, West Bengal has referred to letter	
dated April 04, 2017 (page 76 to the original application),	
and submitted that 25 vacancies reported under the said	
letter will be filled up on the basis of recruitment	
examination of 2017 and the said vacancies have no	
connection with the examination held in the year 2016.	

KOUSHIK CHATTERJEE + 105 OTHERS

Form No.

••••

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA 1088 OF 2017

Case No. <u>OA 1088</u>	<u>OF 2017</u>	
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	Having heard Learned Counsels representing the	
	respective parties and on consideration of the facts of the	
	present case, we find that 1387 vacancies reported to the	
	Public Service Commission on December 26, 2016 have	
	already been filled up. The information is already	
	supplied to one of the applicants under provisions of RTI	
	Act, 2005 on September 11, 2017 that the present merit	
	list prepared on the basis of examination of the year 2016	
	will remain valid till December 07, 2017. The vacancies	
	which have been reported subsequent to December 26,	
	2016 can by no stretch of imagination be filled up by the	
	candidates whose names appear in the merit list on the	
	basis of Examination of the year 2016. We are unable to	
	accept the contention made on behalf of the applicants	
	that the present merit list can remain valid until further	
	order of the Tribunal. Nor can we persuade ourselves to	
	hold that the vacancies reported after December 26, 2017	
	can be filled up by the candidates whose names appear in	
	the merit list on the basis of Examination of the year 2016.	
	In view of our above findings, we are not in a position	
	to pass any interim order as prayed by the applicants. The	
	interim order is, thus, refused.	
	The respondents are directed to file reply within a	
	period of 4 (four) weeks and rejoinder, if any, may be filed	

	~~~		
KOUSHIK	CHATTER	JEE + 105	OTHERS

Form No.		

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribuna	l with signature	Office action with date
Date of order. 1	2		and dated signature of parties when necessar
	by the applicant within a p	period of 2 (two) weeks	3
	thereafter.		
	List the matter under the he	ading 'Reply/rejoinder' on	
	19.06.2018.  Let a plain copy of this order be supplied to both parties.		
	(S.K.DAS)	(R.K.BAG)	
	Member (A)	Member (J)	
H.S.			

Form No.	KOUSHIK CHATTERJEE + 105 OTHERS	
TOTHINO.	••••	Vs.
Case No. <u>OA 1088 OF 20</u>		te of West Bengal & Ors.
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary